

Exact Complexity of Exact-Four-Colorability

Jörg Rothe*

Abteilung für Informatik
Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf
40225 Düsseldorf, Germany
rothe@cs.uni-duesseldorf.de

September 14, 2001

Abstract

Let $M_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a given set that consists of k noncontiguous integers. Define **Exact- M_k -Colorability** to be the problem of determining whether $\chi(G)$, the chromatic number of a given graph G , equals one of the k elements of the set M_k exactly. In 1987, Wagner [Wag87] proved that **Exact- M_k -Colorability** is $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$ -complete, where $M_k = \{6k + 1, 6k + 3, \dots, 8k - 1\}$ and $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$ is the $2k$ th level of the boolean hierarchy over NP. In particular, for $k = 1$, it is DP-complete to determine whether $\chi(G) = 7$, where $\text{DP} = \text{BH}_2(\text{NP})$. Wagner raised the question of how small the numbers in a k -element set M_k can be chosen such that **Exact- M_k -Colorability** still is $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$ -complete. In particular, for $k = 1$, he asked if it is DP-complete to determine whether $\chi(G) = 4$. In this note, we solve this question of Wagner and determine the precise threshold $t \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ for which the problem **Exact- $\{t\}$ -Colorability** jumps from NP to DP-completeness: It is DP-complete to determine whether $\chi(G) = 4$, yet **Exact- $\{3\}$ -Colorability** is in NP. More generally, for each $k \geq 1$, we show that **Exact- M_k -Colorability** is $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$ -complete for $M_k = \{3k + 1, 3k + 3, \dots, 5k - 1\}$.

1 Exact- M_k -Colorability and the Boolean Hierarchy over NP

To classify the complexity of problems known to be NP-hard or coNP-hard, but seemingly not contained in $\text{NP} \cup \text{coNP}$, Papadimitriou and Yannakakis [PY84] introduced DP, the class of differences of two NP problems. They showed that DP contains various interesting types of problems, including *uniqueness problems*, *critical graph problems*, and *exact optimization problems*. For example, Cai and Meyer [CM87] proved the DP-completeness of **Minimal-3-Uncolorability**, a critical graph problem that asks whether a given graph is not 3-colorable, but deleting any of its vertices makes it 3-colorable. A graph is said to be *k-colorable* if its vertices can be colored using no more than k colors such that no two adjacent vertices receive

*This work was supported in part by grant NSF-INT-9815095/DAAD-315-PPP-gü-ab and by a Heisenberg Fellowship of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

the same color. The *chromatic number* of a graph G , denoted $\chi(G)$, is defined to be the smallest k such that G is k -colorable.

Generalizing DP, Cai et al. [CGH⁺88, CGH⁺89] defined and studied the boolean hierarchy over NP. Their papers initiated an intensive work and many papers on the boolean hierarchy; e.g., [Wag87, KSW87, Kad88, Wag90, Bei91, Cha91, HR97] to name just a few. To define the boolean hierarchy, we use the symbols $\wedge$ and $\vee$, respectively, to denote the *complex intersection* and the *complex union* of set classes. That is, for classes $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ of sets, define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{D} &= \{A \cap B \mid A \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{D}\}; \\ \mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D} &= \{A \cup B \mid A \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{D}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Definition 1 ([CGH⁺88]) *The boolean hierarchy over NP is inductively defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{BH}_1(\text{NP}) &= \text{NP}, \\ \text{BH}_2(\text{NP}) &= \text{NP} \wedge \text{coNP}, \\ \text{BH}_k(\text{NP}) &= \text{BH}_{k-2}(\text{NP}) \vee \text{BH}_2(\text{NP}) \quad \text{for } k \geq 3, \text{ and} \\ \text{BH}(\text{NP}) &= \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \text{BH}_k(\text{NP}).\end{aligned}$$

Equivalent definitions in terms of different boolean hierarchy normal forms can be found in the papers [CGH⁺88, Wag87, KSW87]; for the boolean hierarchy over arbitrary set rings, we refer to the early work by Hausdorff [Hau14]. Note that $\text{DP} = \text{BH}_2(\text{NP})$.

In his seminal paper [Wag87], Wagner provided sufficient conditions to prove problems complete for the levels of the boolean hierarchy. In particular, he established the following lemma for $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$.

Lemma 2 [Wag87, Thm. 5.1(3)] *Let A be some NP-complete problem, let B be an arbitrary problem, and let $k \geq 1$ be fixed.*

If there exists a polynomial-time computable function f such that, for all strings $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k} \in \Sigma^*$ satisfying $(\forall j : 1 \leq j < 2k) [x_{j+1} \in A \implies x_j \in A]$, it holds that

$$\|\{i \mid x_i \in A\}\| \text{ is odd} \iff f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}) \in B, \quad (1.1)$$

then B is $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$ -hard.

For fixed $k \geq 1$, let $M_k = \{6k + 1, 6k + 3, \dots, 8k - 1\}$, and define the problem $\text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability} = \{G \mid \chi(G) \in M_k\}$. In particular, Wagner applied Lemma 2 to prove that, for each $k \geq 1$, $\text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability}$ is $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$ -complete. For the special case of $k = 1$, it follows that $\text{Exact-}\{7\}\text{-Colorability}$ is DP-complete.

Wagner [Wag87, p. 70] raised the question of how small the numbers in a k -element set M_k can be chosen such that $\text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability}$ still is $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$ -complete. Consider the special case of $k = 1$. It is easy to see that $\text{Exact-}\{3\}\text{-Colorability}$ is in NP and, thus, cannot be DP-complete unless the boolean hierarchy collapses; see Proposition 3 below. Consequently, for

$k = 1$, Wagner's result leaves a gap in determining the precise threshold $t \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ for which the problem $\text{Exact-}\{t\}\text{-Colorability}$ jumps from NP to DP-completeness. Closing this gap, we show that it is DP-complete to determine whether $\chi(G) = 4$. More generally, answering Wagner's question for each $k \geq 1$, we show that $\text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability}$ is $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$ -complete for $M_k = \{3k + 1, 3k + 3, \dots, 5k - 1\}$.

2 Solving Wagner's Question

Proposition 3 *Fix any $k \geq 1$, and let M_k be any set that contains k noncontiguous positive integers including 3. Then, $\text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability}$ is in $\text{BH}_{2k-1}(\text{NP})$; in particular, for $k = 1$, $\text{Exact-}\{3\}\text{-Colorability}$ is in NP.*

Hence, $\text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability}$ is not $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$ -complete unless the boolean hierarchy, and consequently the polynomial hierarchy, collapses.

Proof. Fix any $k \geq 1$, and let M_k be given as above. Note that

$$\text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability} = \bigcup_{i \in M_k} \text{Exact-}\{i\}\text{-Colorability}.$$

Since for each $i \in M_k$, $\text{Exact-}\{i\}\text{-Colorability} = \{G \mid \chi(G) \leq i\} \cap \{G \mid \chi(G) > i - 1\}$ and since the set $\{G \mid \chi(G) \leq i\}$ is in NP and the set $\{G \mid \chi(G) > i - 1\}$ is in coNP, each of the $k - 1$ sets $\text{Exact-}\{i\}\text{-Colorability}$ with $i \in M_k - \{3\}$ is in DP. However, $\text{Exact-}\{3\}\text{-Colorability}$ is even contained in NP, since it can be checked in polynomial time whether a given graph is 2-colorable, so $\{G \mid \chi(G) > 2\}$ is in P. It follows that $\text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability}$ is in $\text{BH}_{2k-1}(\text{NP})$. ■

Theorem 4 *For fixed $k \geq 1$, let $M_k = \{3k + 1, 3k + 3, \dots, 5k - 1\}$. Then, $\text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability}$ is $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$ -complete. In particular, for $k = 1$, it follows that $\text{Exact-}\{4\}\text{-Colorability}$ is DP-complete.*

Proof. We apply Lemma 2 with A being the NP-complete problem 3-SAT and B being the problem $\text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability}$, where $M_k = \{3k + 1, 3k + 3, \dots, 5k - 1\}$ for fixed k . The standard reduction σ from 3-SAT to 3-Colorability has the following property [GJ79]:

$$\phi \in 3\text{-SAT} \implies \chi(\sigma(\phi)) = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \notin 3\text{-SAT} \implies \chi(\sigma(\phi)) = 4. \quad (2.2)$$

Using the PCP theorem, Khanna, Linial, and Safra [KLS00] showed that it is NP-hard to color a 3-colorable graph with only four colors. Guruswami and Khanna [GK00] gave a novel proof of the same result that does not rely on the PCP theorem. We use their direct transformation, call it ρ , that consists of two subsequent reductions—first from 3-SAT to the independent set problem, and then from the independent set problem to 3-Colorability—such that $\phi \in 3\text{-SAT}$ implies $\chi(\rho(\phi)) = 3$, and $\phi \notin 3\text{-SAT}$ implies $\chi(\rho(\phi)) \geq 5$. Guruswami and Khanna [GK00] note that the graph $H = \rho(\phi)$ they construct always is 6-colorable. In fact, their construction even gives that H always is 5-colorable; hence, we have:

$$\phi \in 3\text{-SAT} \implies \chi(\rho(\phi)) = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \notin 3\text{-SAT} \implies \chi(\rho(\phi)) = 5. \quad (2.3)$$

To see why, look at the reduction in [GK00]. The graph H consists of tree-like structures whose vertices are replaced by 3×3 grids, which always can be colored with three colors, say 1, 2, and 3. In addition, some leafs of the tree-like structures are connected by leaf-level gadgets of two types, the “same row kind” and the “different row kind.” The latter gadgets consist of two vertices connected to some grids, and thus can always be colored with two additional colors. The leaf-level gadgets of the “same row kind” consist of a triangle whose vertices are adjacent to two grid vertices each. Hence, regardless of which 3-coloring is used for the grids, one can always color one triangle vertex, say t_1 , with a color $c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that c is different from the colors of the two grid vertices adjacent to t_1 . Using two additional colors for the other two triangle vertices implies $\chi(H) \leq 5$, which proves Equation (2.3).

The join operation $\oplus$ on graphs is defined as follows: Given two disjoint graphs $A = (V_A, E_A)$ and $B = (V_B, E_B)$, their join $A \oplus B$ is the graph with vertex set $V_{A \oplus B} = V_A \cup V_B$ and edge set $E_{A \oplus B} = E_A \cup E_B \cup \{\{a, b\} \mid a \in V_A \text{ and } b \in V_B\}$. Note that $\oplus$ is an associative operation on graphs and $\chi(A \oplus B) = \chi(A) + \chi(B)$.

Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{2k}$ be $2k$ given boolean formulas satisfying $\phi_{j+1} \in 3\text{-SAT} \implies \phi_j \in 3\text{-SAT}$ for each j with $1 \leq j < 2k$. Define $2k$ graphs $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k}$ as follows. For each i with $1 \leq i \leq k$, define $H_{2i-1} = \rho(\phi_{2i-1})$ and $H_{2i} = \sigma(\phi_{2i})$. By Equations (2.2) and (2.3),

$$\chi(H_j) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq 2k \text{ and } \phi_j \in 3\text{-SAT} \\ 4 & \text{if } j = 2i \text{ for some } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ and } \phi_j \notin 3\text{-SAT} \\ 5 & \text{if } j = 2i - 1 \text{ for some } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ and } \phi_j \notin 3\text{-SAT}. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

For each i with $1 \leq i \leq k$, define the graph G_i to be the disjoint union of the graphs H_{2i-1} and H_{2i} . Thus, $\chi(G_i) = \max\{\chi(H_{2i-1}), \chi(H_{2i})\}$, for each i with $1 \leq i \leq k$. The construction of our reduction f is completed by defining $f(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{2k}) = G$, where the graph $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k G_i$ is the join of the graphs $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$. Thus,

$$\chi(G) = \sum_{i=1}^k \chi(G_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k \max\{\chi(H_{2i-1}), \chi(H_{2i})\}. \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from our construction that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\{i \mid \phi_i \in 3\text{-SAT}\}\| \text{ is odd} \\ & \iff (\exists i : 1 \leq i \leq k) [\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2i-1} \in 3\text{-SAT and } \phi_{2i}, \dots, \phi_{2k} \notin 3\text{-SAT}] \\ & \stackrel{(2.4), (2.5)}{\iff} (\exists i : 1 \leq i \leq k) \left[\sum_{j=1}^k \chi(G_j) = 3(i-1) + 4 + 5(k-i) = 5k - 2i + 1 \right] \\ & \stackrel{(2.5)}{\iff} \chi(G) \in M_k = \{3k+1, 3k+3, \dots, 5k-1\} \\ & \iff f(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{2k}) = G \in \text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Equation (1.1) is satisfied. By Lemma 2, $\text{Exact-}M_k\text{-Colorability}$ is $\text{BH}_{2k}(\text{NP})$ -complete. ■

Acknowledgments. Interesting discussions with Klaus Wagner, Venkatesan Guruswami, Edith and Lane Hemaspaandra, Dieter Kratsch, and Gerd Wechsung are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [Bei91] R. Beigel. Bounded queries to SAT and the boolean hierarchy. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 84(2):199–223, 1991.
- [CGH⁺88] J. Cai, T. Gundermann, J. Hartmanis, L. Hemachandra, V. Sewelson, K. Wagner, and G. Wechsung. The boolean hierarchy I: Structural properties. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 17(6):1232–1252, 1988.
- [CGH⁺89] J. Cai, T. Gundermann, J. Hartmanis, L. Hemachandra, V. Sewelson, K. Wagner, and G. Wechsung. The boolean hierarchy II: Applications. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 18(1):95–111, 1989.
- [Cha91] R. Chang. *On the Structure of NP Computations under Boolean Operators*. PhD thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 1991.
- [CM87] J. Cai and G. Meyer. Graph minimal uncolorability is D^P -complete. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 16(2):259–277, April 1987.
- [GJ79] M. Garey and D. Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1979.
- [GK00] V. Guruswami and S. Khanna. On the hardness of 4-coloring a 3-colorable graph. In *Proceedings of the 15th Annual IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity*, pages 188–197. IEEE Computer Society Press, May 2000.
- [Hau14] F. Hausdorff. *Grundzüge der Mengenlehre*. Walter de Gruyten and Co., 1914.
- [HR97] L. Hemaspaandra and J. Rothe. Unambiguous computation: Boolean hierarchies and sparse Turing-complete sets. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 26(3):634–653, 1997.
- [Kad88] J. Kadin. The polynomial time hierarchy collapses if the boolean hierarchy collapses. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 17(6):1263–1282, 1988. Erratum appears in the same journal, 20(2):404.
- [KLS00] S. Khanna, N. Linial, and S. Safra. On the hardness of approximating the chromatic number. *Combinatorica*, 20(3):393–415, 2000.
- [KSW87] J. Köbler, U. Schöning, and K. Wagner. The difference and truth-table hierarchies for NP. *R.A.I.R.O. Informatique théorique et Applications*, 21:419–435, 1987.
- [PY84] C. Papadimitriou and M. Yannakakis. The complexity of facets (and some facets of complexity). *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 28(2):244–259, 1984.
- [Wag87] K. Wagner. More complicated questions about maxima and minima, and some closures of NP. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 51:53–80, 1987.
- [Wag90] K. Wagner. Bounded query classes. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 19(5):833–846, 1990.